

# **REFORM WITHOUT CHANGE**

**ANDREJA RAFAELIČ**

**PRAGUE, 25TH MAY 2018**



# **SLOVENIAN SITUATION**

- **A LONG HISTORY OF DEINSTITUTIONALISATION, BUT STILL KEEPING A MAINLY INSTITUTIONAL SYSTEM**
- **A HIGH DEGREE OF DEINSTITUTIONALISATION BUT ALSO A HIGH DEGREE OF INSTITUTIONALISATION**
- **DEVELOPMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES HAS NOT DECREASED THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN INSTITUTIONS**
- **A DOUBLE TIERED SYSTEM → HIGHER COSTS + A BARRIER FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES**
- **COSTS PER USERS IN INSTITUTIONS IN FOR TIMES HIGHER THAT IN THE COMMUNITY**

# **SLOVENIAN SITUATION**

- **HIGH THRESHOLD OF COMMUNITY SERVICE – SKIMMING**
- **REVOLVING DOORS PHENOMENA IN INSTITUTIONS**
- **COMMUNITY SERVICES ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE TO ALL USERS AND ARE LIMITED**
- **REINSTITUTIONALISATION – LESS USERS IN COMMUNITY FACILITIES, STRENGTHENING OF CLOSED FACILITIES, AGAIN USING THE OLD METHODS**

# SLOVENIAN CARE IN NUMBERS

- **INSTITUTIONAL CARE** **21.275**
- **INTERMEDIATE STRUCTURES (SMALL COMMUNITY UNITS)** **1.208**
- **DAY CARE** **4.440**
- **INTERMEDIATE STRUCTURES (TOGETHER)** **5.684.**
- **INTENSIVE COMMUNITY CARE (PERSONAL ASSISTANCE, HOME CARE, PERSONAL CARE)** **9.828.**
- **EXTRA INSTITUTIONAL CARE TOTAL** **13.168**
- **61,9 % OF USERS IN THE INSTITUTIONS**

# CARE COSTS

- **INSTITUTIONAL CARE** **400.696.000**
- **DISLOCATED UNITS EXCLUDED** **363.424.000**
- **OUT OF INSTITUTIONS** **133.580.497**
  - **2 : 1 IN FAVOUR OF INSTITUTIONS**
- **PER USER**
  - **INSTITUTIONS** **1.423,52 EUR**
  - **COMMUNITY** **319,20 EUR**
  - **RATIO 1 : 4.**

# **THE FRAMEWORK FOR DI**

- **PILOT INSTITUTION – FOLLOWED BY OTHERS (15)**
- **TRANSFORMATION PLANS**
- **REGIONAL COMMUNITY SERVICES PLANS**
- **IMPORTANT ROLE OF COMMUNITY COORDINATION**
- **DIRECT COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT**
- **COORDINATION BODY (CENTRE FOR DI)**



# USE OF ESI FUNDS

- **THE OPERATIONAL PLAN HAS PLANNED DEINSTITUTIONALISATION**
- **NATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR DEINSTITUTIONALISATION CONFIRMED**
- **NO USE OF FUNDS SO FAR FOR DEINSTITUTIONALISATION**
- **WHEN PLANNING THE USAGE OF THE ESI FUNDS NOT USING THE EUROPEAN GUIDELINES**
  
- **BUT THERE IS THE IDEA OF ORGANISING A UNIT FOR DI AT THE MINISTERIAL LEVEL AND**
- **THERE IS A PILOT PROJECT IN LTC PLANNED AT MOH**

# NO CHANGE

- **BUILDING NEW INSTITUTIONS AND RENOVATING OLD ONES**
- **STRONG PRESSURE (EVEN FROM THE OMBUDSMAN) TO OPEN NEW SECURED UNITS**
- **INITIATIVES TO OPEN A SECURED UNIT FOR CHILDREN WITH MENTAL DISABILITIES**
  
- **WRONG USE OF EES FUNDS**
  - **PLANNING SMALL INSTITUTIONS**
  - **HIDDEN INVESTMENTS IN REFURBISHMENT**
  - **DOING NOTHING AND IT INVESTMENT**
  - **UNISING RESEARCH INSTITUTES AND SIENCE TO SUPPORT THE IDEAS OF REINSTITUTIONALISATION**



# THE DILEMMA

- **STRONG NEED TO KEEP USING THE FUNDS TO DEVELOP THE LTC SYSTEM IN THE COMMUNITY**
- **BUT ALSO A STRONG NEED TO MOBILISE CIVIL SOCIETY TO STOP THE ESI FUNDING AND MISSUSE OF THE FUNDS**